



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/015,484

12/13/2001

Erik Ramsten Bush

AUS920010977US1

6649

40412

7590

06/06/2006

IBM CORPORATION- AUSTIN (JVL)
C/O VAN LEEUWEN & VAN LEEUWEN
PO BOX 90609
AUSTIN, TX 78709-0609

EXAMINER

DESHPANDE, KALYAN K

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3623

DATE MAILED: 06/06/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/015,484	BUSH ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Kalyan K. Deshpande	3623	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 March 2006.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-7, 9-16, 18-25 and 27 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-7, 9-16, 18-25, and 27 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 13 December 2001 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|---|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Introduction

1. The following is a final office action in response to the communications received on March 28, 2006. Claims 1-7, 9-16, 18-25, and 27 are now pending in this application.

Information Disclosure Statement

2. The examiner has reviewed the patents and articles supplied in the Information Disclosure Statements (IDS) provided on December 13, 2001.

Response to Amendment

3. Applicants' amendments to claims 1, 4, 10, 13, 19, and 22 are acknowledged. Applicants' cancellation of claims 8, 17, and 26 is acknowledged. Examiner maintains the 35 U.S.C. §102 (b) and 35 U.S.C. 103(a) rejections. Examiner additionally asserts a 35 U.S.C. §112 rejection.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicants' arguments filed on March 28, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not found persuasive. Applicants argues i) Fargher fails to teach "using discrete event systems simulation using one or more pseudo-random numbers during the simulation" and ii) Fargher fails to teach a "research mode".

In response to Applicants' argument Fargher fails to teach "using discrete event systems simulation using one or more pseudo-random numbers during the simulation", Examiner respectfully disagrees. Fargher does in fact teach "performing discrete event systems simulation using one or more pseudo-random numbers" (see column 1 lines

45-51, column 2 lines 23-31, column 6 lines 10-18, column 6 lines 45-53, column 7 lines 34-62, column 8 lines 7-67, column 9 lines 1-67, column 10 lines 1-45, and column 13 lines 5-15; where each production job is first divided in to discrete segments.

Processing of each segment is done by the use of a fuzzy set algorithm. The fuzzy set algorithm describes the discrete events into a linear relationship, which is used for a linear production planning problems. Discrete events the planner accounts for include queue sizes, machine setups, cycle times, priority times, due dates, and other capacity constraints. The planner also accounts other discrete events and production uncertainty, such as machine failure and tardiness of work in progress (WIP). Pseudo-random numbers are random numbers generated to represent realistic values for simulation as per Specification page 16. These realistic values are drawn from uniform, normal, discrete, triangular, beta, and rayleigh distributions as per Specification page 19. Fargher simulates production planning "what if" scenarios using constraints determined using fuzzy set linear programming methods using discrete systems events as input constraints. Therefore, the pseudo-random numbers used in the present invention is the same as the parameters used in the Fargher discrete system events constraints in a fuzzy set linear programming algorithm. Furthermore, Fargher enables a user to incorporate random discrete events in to the simulated scenarios.).

In response to Applicants' argument Fargher fails to teach a "research mode", Examiner respectfully disagrees. Fargher does teach "the simulation mode is a research mode, which uses an automatic input that includes one or more values, one or more formulas, and one or more rules" (see column 2 lines 32-49, column 4 lines and

column 5 lines 17-25; where the system incorporates automatic inputs, such as factory settings and factory parameters. The system also incorporates rules based on the requirement rules, such as complete by dates and input cycle times must match the interval cycle time distributions. Production planning calculations are done using formulas derived using fuzzy set linear programming algorithms.). Fargher fails to explicitly teach a research mode. Fargher discloses a simulation mode that is capable of being used for research purposes, such as determining the probability of production completion and accuracy of the production plan (see column 5 lines 17-25; where the "what-if" scenario simulator enables a user to determining expected results based on input variables.). A simulation mode capable of performing research is the same as a research mode. The advantage of using a simulation mode to conduct research (thereby using a research mode) is that it enables one to more accurately determine production planning. It would have been obvious, at the time of the invention, to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the simulation mode capable of performing research disclosed by Fargher as a research mode in order to accurately perform production planning, which is a goal of Fargher (see column 1 lines 15-24).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

6. Claims 1, 10, and 19 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The term "pseudo-random" in claims 1, 10, and 19 is a relative term which renders the claim indefinite. The term "pseudo-random" is not defined by the claim, the specification does not provide an adequate standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention. Specification page 19 only establishes that "pseudo-random numbers are drawn from the uniform, normal, discrete, triangular, beta, and rayleigh distributions to simulate variability in demand and supply". One of ordinary skill in the art would not be able to determine to what degree the "pseudo-random" numbers are in fact random.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

8. Claims 1-3, 5-12, 14-21, and 23-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Fargher et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5586021).

As per claim 1, Fargher teaches:

A method of managing resources, said method comprising:

receiving one or more buffer variables and one or more endogenous variables (see column 4 lines 61-67, column 5 lines 1-67, column 6 lines 1-67, and column 11 lines 5-30, and figure 1; where the system planner receives inputs as to the manufacturing requirements in order to simulate a production plan. The system

Art Unit: 3623

predicts work completion within some given level of confidence, such as 50% or 80%, based on cycle times, tardy delays, and unexpected events. Cycle times are evaluated based on a possible variance. The level of confidence is a buffer variable. Buffer data is the standard deviations and possible excess capacity per the specification (see specification page 18). Endogenous variables are inputs relating to capacity levels and inventory levels (see specification page 19). The user inputs these variables, including other variables, into the system to determine the production plan.);

determining one or more exogenous variables (see column 4 lines 61-67, column 5 lines 1-67, and column 6 lines 1-67; where manufacturing requirements and capacity levels are input in to the planner. Exogenous variables are demand (manufacturing requirements) and supply from the labor market (capacity) (see specification page 19).); and

simulating one or more resource requirements using the buffer variables, the endogenous variables, and the exogenous variables (see column 4 lines 61-67, column 5 lines 1-67, column 6 lines 1-67, and figure 1; where the production plan is simulated based on all available inputs, including buffer variables, endogenous variables, and exogenous variables.), wherein the simulating further comprises:

performing discrete event systems simulation using one or more pseudo-random numbers (see column 1 lines 45-51, column 2 lines 23-31, column 6 lines 10-18, column 6 lines 45-53, column 7 lines 34-62, column 8 lines 7-67, column 9 lines 1-67, column 10 lines 1-45, and column 13 lines 5-15; where each

production job is first divided in to discrete segments. Processing of each segment is done by the use of a fuzzy set algorithm. The fuzzy set algorithm describes the discrete events into a linear relationship, which is used for a linear production planning problems. Discrete events the planner accounts for include queue sizes, machine setups, cycle times, priority times, due dates, and other capacity constraints. The planner also accounts other discrete events and production uncertainty, such as machine failure and tardiness of work in progress (WIP). Pseudo-random numbers are random numbers generated to represent realistic values for simulation as per Specification page 16. These realistic values are drawn from uniform, normal, discrete, triangular, beta, and rayleigh distributions as per Specification page 19. Fargher simulates production planning "what if" scenarios using constraints determined using fuzzy set linear programming methods using discrete systems events as input constraints. Therefore, the pseudo-random numbers used in the present invention is the same as the parameters used in the Fargher discrete system events constraints in a fuzzy set linear programming algorithm. Furthermore, Fargher enables a user to incorporate random discrete events in to the simulated scenarios.).

As per claim 2, Fargher teaches:

The method as described in claim 1 wherein the buffer variable is selected from the group consisting of a buffer size, a buffer zone, and a virtual buffer (see column 4 lines 61-67, column 5 lines 1-67, column 6 lines 1-67, column 7 lines 13-62, and column 11 lines 5-30, and figure 1; where the system planner receives inputs as to

the manufacturing requirements in order to simulate a production plan. The system predicts work completion within some given level of confidence, such as 50% or 80%, based on cycle times, tardy delays, and unexpected events. Cycle times are evaluated based on a possible variance. The level of confidence is a buffer variable. The level of confidence and the standard deviations determined by the simulation are buffer sizes and buffer zones. The user has the ability to view resource groups and the skills they can perform. Virtual buffers allow users to view resource availability across skill groups when resources have both one primary skill code that defines a skill group and other secondary skill codes (see specification page 18).).

As per claim 3, Fargher teaches:

The method as described in claim 1 further comprising:

selecting a simulation mode (see column 4 lines 61-67, column 5 lines 1-67, column 6 lines 1-67, and figure 1; where all variables are input in to the system planner and the planner runs a simulation based on these inputs.); and

receiving a resource plan input based on the selected simulation mode (see column 4 lines 61-67, column 5 lines 1-67, column 6 lines 1-67, and figure 1; where all variables are input in to the system planner and the planner runs a simulation of the production plan based on these inputs.).

As per claim 5, Fargher teaches:

The method as described in claim 1 wherein at least one of the endogenous variables is selected from the group consisting of a capacity increase decision, a

capacity decrease decision, and a resource supply source (see column 7 lines 13-62; where an endogenous variable is the availability of capacity.).

As per claim 6, Fargher teaches:

The method as described in claim 1 wherein at least one of the exogenous variables is determined by calculating a resource supply (see column 7 lines 13-62; where an exogenous variable, the availability of a resource group, is determined.).

As per claim 7, Fargher teaches:

The method as described in claim 1 further comprising:

generating a resource usage report that includes the resource requirements in response to the simulation (see column 7 lines 13-62; where the plan is represented by resource usage.).

As per claim 9, Fargher teaches:

The method as described in claim 1 further comprising:

selecting a replenishment mode, the replenishment mode including a pure replenishment mode and a forecast replenishment mode (see column 7 lines 13-62; where the user can select resources for production. Replenishment is defined as adjusting the number of resources (see specification page 20); and

including the selected replenishment mode as an input to the simulating (see column 7 lines 13-62; where the user can select resources for production.

Replenishment is defined as adjusting the number of resources (see specification page 20).

As per claim 10, Fargher teaches:

An information handling system comprising:

one or more processors (see column 13 lines 45-67 and column 14 lines 1-12; where the system has a microprocessor.);

a memory accessible by the processors (see column 13 lines 45-67 and column 14 lines 1-12; where the system has memory accessible by the processor.);

one or more nonvolatile storage devices accessible by the processors (see column 13 lines 45-67 and column 14 lines 1-12; where the system has nonvolatile storage devices accessible by the processor.);

a resource management tool, the resource management tool including:

means for receiving one or more buffer variables and one or more endogenous variables (see column 4 lines 61-67, column 5 lines 1-67, column 6 lines 1-67, and column 11 lines 5-30, and figure 1; where the system planner receives inputs as to the manufacturing requirements in order to simulate a production plan. The system predicts work completion within some given level of confidence, such as 50% or 80%, based on cycle times, tardy delays, and unexpected events. Cycle times are evaluated based on a possible variance. The level of confidence is a buffer variable. Buffer data is the standard deviations and possible excess capacity per the specification (see specification page 18). Endogenous variables are inputs relating to capacity levels and inventory levels (see specification page 19). The user inputs these variables, including other variables, into the system to determine the production plan.);

means for determining one or more exogenous variables (see column 4 lines 61-67, column 5 lines 1-67, and column 6 lines 1-67; where manufacturing requirements and capacity levels are input in to the planner. Exogenous variables are demand (manufacturing requirements) and supply from the labor market (capacity) (see specification page 19).); and

means for simulating one or more resource requirements using the buffer variables, the endogenous variables, and the exogenous variables (see column 4 lines 61-67, column 5 lines 1-67, column 6 lines 1-67, and figure 1; where the production plan is simulated based on all available inputs, including buffer variables, endogenous variables, and exogenous variables.), wherein the simulating further comprises:

performing discrete event systems simulation using one or more pseudo-random numbers (see column 1 lines 45-51, column 2 lines 23-31, column 6 lines 10-18, column 7 lines 34-62, column 8 lines 7-67, column 9 lines 1-67, column 10 lines 1-45, and column 13 lines 5-15; where each production job is first divided in to discrete segments. Processing of each segment is done by the use of a fuzzy set algorithm. The fuzzy set algorithm describes the discrete events into a linear relationship, which is used for a linear production planning problems. Discrete events the planner accounts for include queue sizes, machine setups, cycle times, priority times, due dates, and other capacity constraints. The planner also accounts other discrete events and production uncertainty, such as machine failure and tardiness of work in progress (WIP).

Pseudo-random numbers are random numbers generated to represent realistic values for simulation as per Specification page 16. These realistic values are drawn from uniform, normal, discrete, triangular, beta, and rayleigh distributions as per Specification page 19. Fargher simulates production planning "what if" scenarios using constraints determined using fuzzy set linear programming methods using discrete systems events as input constraints. Therefore, the pseudo-random numbers used in the present invention is the same as the parameters used in the Fargher discrete system events constraints in a fuzzy set linear programming algorithm. Furthermore, Fargher enables a user to incorporate random discrete events in to the simulated scenarios.).

As per claim 11, Fargher teaches:

The information handling system as described in claim 10 wherein the buffer variable is selected from the group consisting of a buffer size, a buffer zone, and a virtual buffer (see column 4 lines 61-67, column 5 lines 1-67, column 6 lines 1-67, column 7 lines 13-62, and column 11 lines 5-30, and figure 1; where the system planner receives inputs as to the manufacturing requirements in order to simulate a production plan. The system predicts work completion within some given level of confidence, such as 50% or 80%, based on cycle times, tardy delays, and unexpected events. Cycle times are evaluated based on a possible variance. The level of confidence is a buffer variable. The level of confidence and the standard deviations determined by the simulation are buffer sizes and buffer zones. The user has the ability to view resource groups and the skills they can perform. Virtual

buffers allow users to view resource availability across skill groups when resources have both one primary skill code that defines a skill group and other secondary skill codes (see specification page 18).).

As per claim 12, Fargher teaches:

The information handling system as described in claim 10 further comprising:

means for selecting a simulation mode (see column 4 lines 61-67, column 5 lines 1-67, column 6 lines 1-67, and figure 1; where all variables are input in to the system planner and the planner runs a simulation based on these inputs.); and

means for receiving a resource plan input based on the selected simulation mode (see column 4 lines 61-67, column 5 lines 1-67, column 6 lines 1-67, and figure 1; where all variables are input in to the system planner and the planner runs a simulation of the production plan based on these inputs.).

As per claim 14, Fargher teaches:

The information handling system as described in claim 10 wherein at least one of the endogenous variables is selected from the group consisting of a capacity increase decision, a capacity decrease decision, and a resource supply source (see column 7 lines 13-62; where an endogenous variable is the availability of capacity.).

As per claim 15, Fargher teaches:

The information handling system as described in claim 10 wherein at least one of the exogenous variables is determined by calculating a resource supply (see column

7 lines 13-62; where an exogenous variable, the availability of a resource group, is determined.).

As per claim 16, Fargher teaches:

The information handling system as described in claim 10 further comprising:
means for generating a resource usage report that includes the resource requirements in response to the simulation (see column 7 lines 13-62; where the plan is represented by resource usage.).

As per claim 18, Fargher teaches:

The information handling system as described in claim 10 further comprising:
means for selecting a replenishment mode, the replenishment mode including a pure replenishment mode and a forecast replenishment mode (see column 7 lines 13-62; where the user can select resources for production. Replenishment is defined as adjusting the number of resources (see specification page 20); and
means for including the selected replenishment mode as an input to the simulating (see column 7 lines 13-62; where the user can select resources for production. Replenishment is defined as adjusting the number of resources (see specification page 20).

As per claim 19, Fargher teaches:

A computer program product stored in a computer operable media for managing resources, said computer program product comprising:

means for receiving one or more buffer variables and one or more endogenous variables (see column 4 lines 61-67, column 5 lines 1-67, column 6

lines 1-67, and column 11 lines 5-30, and figure 1; where the system planner receives inputs as to the manufacturing requirements in order to simulate a production plan. The system predicts work completion within some given level of confidence, such as 50% or 80%, based on cycle times, tardy delays, and unexpected events. Cycle times are evaluated based on a possible variance. The level of confidence is a buffer variable. Buffer data is the standard deviations and possible excess capacity per the specification (see specification page 18). Endogenous variables are inputs relating to capacity levels and inventory levels (see specification page 19). The user inputs these variables, including other variables, into the system to determine the production plan.);

means for determining one or more exogenous variables (see column 4 lines 61-67, column 5 lines 1-67, and column 6 lines 1-67; where manufacturing requirements and capacity levels are input in to the planner. Exogenous variables are demand (manufacturing requirements) and supply from the labor market (capacity) (see specification page 19).); and

means for simulating one or more resource requirements using the buffer variables, the endogenous variables, and the exogenous variables (see column 4 lines 61-67, column 5 lines 1-67, column 6 lines 1-67, and figure 1; where the production plan is simulated based on all available inputs, including buffer variables, endogenous variables, and exogenous variables.), wherein the simulating further comprises:

performing discrete event systems simulation using one or more pseudo-random numbers (see column 1 lines 45-51, column 2 lines 23-31, column 6 lines 10-18, column 7 lines 34-62, column 8 lines 7-67, column 9 lines 1-67, column 10 lines 1-45, and column 13 lines 5-15; where each production job is first divided in to discrete segments. Processing of each segment is done by the use of a fuzzy set algorithm. The fuzzy set algorithm describes the discrete events into a linear relationship, which is used for a linear production planning problems. Discrete events the planner accounts for include queue sizes, machine setups, cycle times, priority times, due dates, and other capacity constraints. The planner also accounts other discrete events and production uncertainty, such as machine failure and tardiness of work in progress (WIP). Pseudo-random numbers are random numbers generated to represent realistic values for simulation as per Specification page 16. These realistic values are drawn from uniform, normal, discrete, triangular, beta, and rayleigh distributions as per Specification page 19. Fargher simulates production planning "what if" scenarios using constraints determined using fuzzy set linear programming methods using discrete systems events as input constraints. Therefore, the pseudo-random numbers used in the present invention is the same as the parameters used in the Fargher discrete system events constraints in a fuzzy set linear programming algorithm. Furthermore, Fargher enables a user to incorporate random discrete events in to the simulated scenarios.).

As per claim 20, Fargher teaches:

The information handling system as described in claim 19 wherein the buffer variable is selected from the group consisting of a buffer size, a buffer zone, and a virtual buffer (see column 4 lines 61-67, column 5 lines 1-67, column 6 lines 1-67, column 7 lines 13-62, and column 11 lines 5-30, and figure 1; where the system planner receives inputs as to the manufacturing requirements in order to simulate a production plan. The system predicts work completion within some given level of confidence, such as 50% or 80%, based on cycle times, tardy delays, and unexpected events. Cycle times are evaluated based on a possible variance. The level of confidence is a buffer variable. The level of confidence and the standard deviations determined by the simulation are buffer sizes and buffer zones. The user has the ability to view resource groups and the skills they can perform. Virtual buffers allow users to view resource availability across skill groups when resources have both one primary skill code that defines a skill group and other secondary skill codes (see specification page 18).).

As per claim 21, Fargher teaches:

The information handling system as described in claim 19 further comprising:

means for selecting a simulation mode (see column 4 lines 61-67, column 5 lines 1-67, column 6 lines 1-67, and figure 1; where all variables are input in to the system planner and the planner runs a simulation based on these inputs.); and

means for receiving a resource plan input based on the selected simulation mode (see column 4 lines 61-67, column 5 lines 1-67, column 6 lines 1-67, and

figure 1; where all variables are input in to the system planner and the planner runs a simulation of the production plan based on these inputs.).

As per claim 23, Fargher teaches:

The information handling system as described in claim 19 wherein at least one of the endogenous variables is selected from the group consisting of a capacity increase decision, a capacity decrease decision, and a resource supply source (see column 7 lines 13-62; where an endogenous variable is the availability of capacity.).

As per claim 24, Fargher teaches:

The information handling system as described in claim 19 wherein at least one of the exogenous variables is determined by calculating a resource supply (see column 7 lines 13-62; where an exogenous variable, the availability of a resource group, is determined.).

As per claim 25, Fargher teaches:

The information handling system as described in claim 19 further comprising:

means for generating a resource usage report that includes the resource requirements in response to the simulation (see column 7 lines 13-62; where the plan is represented by resource usage.).

As per claim 27, Fargher teaches:

The information handling system as described in claim 19 further comprising:

means for selecting a replenishment mode, the replenishment mode including a pure replenishment mode and a forecast replenishment mode (see column 7

lines 13-62; where the user can select resources for production. Replenishment is defined as adjusting the number of resources (see specification page 20); and means for including the selected replenishment mode as an input to the simulating (see column 7 lines 13-62; where the user can select resources for production. Replenishment is defined as adjusting the number of resources (see specification page 20).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

10. Claims 4, 13, and 22 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fargher et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5586021).

As per claim 4, Fargher teaches:

The method as described in claim 3, wherein the simulation mode is a research mode, which uses an automatic input that includes one or more values, one or more formulas, and one or more rules (see column 2 lines 32-49, column 4 lines and column 5 lines 17-25; where the system incorporates automatic inputs, such as factory settings and factory parameters. The system also incorporates rules based on the requirement rules, such as complete by dates and input cycle times must match the interval cycle time distributions. Production planning calculations are done using formulas derived using fuzzy set linear programming algorithms.).

Fargher fails to explicitly teach a research mode. Fargher discloses a simulation mode that is capable of being used for research purposes, such as determining the probability of production completion and accuracy of the production plan (see column 5 lines 17-25; where the "what-if" scenario simulator enables a user to determining expected results based on input variables.). A simulation mode capable of performing research is the same as a research mode. The advantage of using a simulation mode to conduct research (thereby using a research mode) is that it enables one to more accurately determine production planning. It would have been obvious, at the time of the invention, to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the simulation mode capable of performing research disclosed by Fargher as a research mode in order to accurately perform production planning, which is a goal of Fargher (see column 1 lines 15-24).

Claims 13 and 22 recite limitations already addressed by the rejection of claim 4; therefore the same rejection applies to these claims.

Conclusion

11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The following are pertinent to the current invention, though not relied upon:

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).


A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kalyan K. Deshpande whose telephone number is (571)272-5880. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tariq Hafiz can be reached on (571) 272-6729. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).


kkd


TARIQ R. HAFIZ
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600